



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/098,997	06/17/1998	CARLOS GONZALEZ OCHOA	VALENZ-98-27	4745
22206	7590 01/27/2006		EXAMINER	
FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
BAILEY & TIPPENS THE KENNEDY BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800			2611	-
TULSA, OK	74103-3318		DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/098,997	OCHOA, CARLOS GONZALEZ	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Reuben M. Brown	2611	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ ∃ 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4 5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 17,22 and 28-41 is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 30-39 and 41 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 22,28,29 and 40 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
10)⊠ T # F	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) \square objected or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim 17 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As for applicant's arguments regarding Small, it is argued that Small does not use the NTSC format. Examiner respectfully disagrees and points out that Small specifically discloses that the 'encoder 50 receives a TV signal in NTSC format and outputs the TV signal..., col. 7, lines 25-35. Even though the actual format of eth data transmitted in the overscan region of Small may be digital, which corresponds with applicant's invention, the video signal itself is transmitted in NTSC format, see col. 12. lines 20-30.

Applicant argues on page 21 that hindsight reconstruction was used in the rejection. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Small teaches that it is desirable to take advantage of the overscan portion of the video signal, see col. 3, lines 40-60. Furthermore, Collings clearly teaches that information maybe provide to the user regarding the program that is being blocked, such as the title, (col. 4, lines 1-6) which would least provide the subscriber with feedback as to which programs are being restricted.

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman, (U.S. 3.

Pat # 6,125,259), in view of Small, (U.S. Pat # 6,040,870), Gammie (U.S. Pat # 5,029,207) and

Collings, (U.S. Pat # 5,828,402).

Considering amended claim 17, the claimed remote unitary module (RM) for controlling

access to a plurality of video channels that are distributed over a communications network, reads

on the operation of the video blocking apparatus, set-top converter 507, STC shown in Fig. 4 of

Perlman. The claimed communications network that has a head-end and at least one remote-end,

such that the RM is positioned at the remote-end of the communications network, and is

provided with a changeable list of permitted video channel numbers also reads on the disclosure

of Perlman. The instant reference teaches that the parental control circuitry is located at the user

premise, and to which the user is enabled to choose a list of channels permitted to be viewed; see

col. 3, lines 51-60; col. 4, lines 5-20; col. 6, lines 9-15 & col. 9, lines 7-15.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding the claimed feature of the changeable list containing at least one permitted video channel number; see Perlman col. 3, lines 51-60. As for the amended claimed feature of transmitting the changeable list of permitted channels within the overscan portion scan line(s) of a video signal, Perlman discloses that the EPG data may be transmitted on an out-of-band channel, col. 6, lines 49-65, or using other conventional extraction techniques. However, it is not explicitly disclosed that one of these techniques may include the overscan portion of a video signal. Nevertheless, Small teaches transmitting any data or information in the overscan portion of a video signal, col. 5, lines 10-25; col. 6, lines 31-34 & col. 12, lines 21-24.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Perlman with the technique of transmitting data in the overscan portion of a video signal, for the desirable benefit of taking advantage of scan lines that are already included in a standard video signal, but are generally not displayed by standard TV sets, as taught by Small, col. 3, lines 40-67. Therefore, the further claimed feature of extracting from the at least one scan line, the embedded portion of changeable list of permitted channels is met by the combination of Perlman & Small.

As for the further recited feature, of a 'changeable list of permitted video channel numbers', Perlman is directed to prohibiting the display of video channels not included within the list of permitted channels, col. 3, lines 51-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding the newly added feature of the 'RM having a unique identifier', 'extracting from the at least one overscan lines the embedded module identifier' and 'if the embedded identifier matches the RM identifier, prohibiting the display of different video channels', although Perlman teaches sending the channel restriction information to a particular subscriber, the reference does not explicitly teach the process is done by identifying the terminal with a unique identification or address. Nevertheless Gammie, which is in the same field of endeavor, teaches that authorization information maybe specifically addressed to a particular subscriber, col. 2, lines 55-65; col. 10, lines 1-25; col. 12, lines 50-62 & col. 13, lines 1-30. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Perlman with the feature of transmitting the data to the decoders using an addressable system for the improvement of a more secure method, as taught by Gammie, col. 2, lines 65-68 thru col. 3, lines 1-10.

The claimed RM comprising a first tuner in electronic communication with the communications network is met by the operation of the tuner in conjunction with the STC 507, see col. 6, lines 25-37. As for the baseband output associated with a particular video channel number, Perlman delivers TV signals to a TV set, when the blocking apparatus is included within a STC 507, see col. 6, lines 9-30 & Fig. 1. The claimed means for changing the first tuner to receive a different video channel having a different channel number is necessarily included in Perlman, in that the user is enabled to select a variety of TV channels. The combination of Perlman & Small reads on the claimed feature of extracting at least a portion of the changeable list of permitted channels, from at least one transmitted overscan line. The additionally claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

CPU that senses that the tuner is tuned to a different channel number and determining whether the different channel number is in the changeable list is met by the operation of the microprocessor 301, which controls the circuitry; see col. 6, lines 30-33.

As for the claimed feature of switching between a digital image stored in RAM and the baseband video signal, Perlman merely teaches switching to another channel that is authorized, Fig. 2 (Step 206, 208). Nevertheless, Collings teaches that when the video signal is being blocked, an alternate video signal containing a graphic image may be displayed to the viewer, see col. 3, line 67 thru col. 4, lines 1-10, which reads on the claimed feature. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Perlman with the technique of switching to a graphic image, if a video channel is blocked, at least for the desirable advantage of informing the subscriber that the requested video channel has been blocked, as taught by Collings, col. 4, lines 1-6.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 22, 28-29 & 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 30-39 & 41 are allowed. Considering amended claim 30, prior art of record does 5. not teach the combination of elements, including, the claimed feature of a RM for controlling access by a user to a plurality of video channels that are distributed over a communications conduit, wherein the RM is provided with a changeable list of permitted video channel #'s, wherein is provided a standard NTSC video signal transmitted over the communication conduit, such that the video signal contains at least one scan line within an overscan portion of the video signal, such that at least portion of representation of a revised list of permitted video channels is embedded as a first two-level video signal; wherein the video signal contains at least one scan line within said overscan portion of video signal wherein a representation of a security key is embedded as a second two-level video signal, and wherein the RM has a module security key associated; such that the RM comprises a 1st tuner in electronic communication conduit, the 1st video tuner is switchable to receive a selected two video channel, the first tuner transmits a video signal as output, such that the 1st tuner video signal representative of the selected video channel, a CPU in communication with the 1st tuner, to examine the video signal to obtain the representation of the security key from the 1st two-level video signal, examining the video signal to obtain the representation of the revised list of permitted video channels from the 2nd two-level video signal, comparing the transmitted security key with the module security key, if the transmitted security key does not match the module security key responding according to the selected channel, to determine whether the selected channel # is in the provided changeable list of permitted channel number.

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 31-39 & claim 41, depend from an allowable claim and is therefore allowable for likewise reasons.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A) Jeffers Teaches transmitting messages to addressable terminal, using unique ID numbers.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/098,997 Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA PRIMARY EXAMINER